

**GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH**  
**Home (OP-I) Department**

Establishment - Home Department - Sri K.Manohar, Section Officer, Home (Courts-D) Department - Departmental proceedings under Rule 22 of APCS(CC&A) Rules, 1991 - Orders - Issued.

---

**HOME (OP-I) DEPARTMENT**

G.O.Rt.No. 1131,

Date: 15-07-2011  
Read the following:

Ref: True Extract of Note file bearing No.14035/Cts.D/D1/2010,

\*\*\*\*\*

**ORDER**

Sri K.Manohar, Section Officer, Home (Courts-D) Department is hereby informed that, it is proposed to take action against him under rule 22 of Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991. A statement of the imputations of misconduct or misbehavior on which action is proposed to be taken is enclosed.

2. Sri K.Manohar, Section Officer, Home (Courts-D) Department is hereby given an opportunity to make such representation as he may wish to make against the proposal.
3. Sri K.Manohar, Section Officer, Home (Courts-D) Department fails to submit his representation within ten days of the receipt of this Memorandum, it will be presumed that he has no representation to make and orders will be liable to be passed against Sri K.Manohar, Section Officer, Home (Courts-D) Department.
4. The receipt of this order should be acknowledged.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

P GAUTAM KUMAR  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

**Encls: Annexure I & II**

To:

Sri K.Manohar, Section Officer, Home Department

Copy to:

Dy. Secy (Courts), Home Department

P.S. to Secretary to Government, Law (LA&J)Department

SF/SC

//FORWARDED:: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

## ANNEXURE - I

### STATEMENT OF ARTICLES OF CHARGE FRAMED AGAINST SRI D.SEKHAR, ASSISTANT SECTION OFFICER, HOME (COURTS-D) DEPARTMENT

#### Article - I

That the said Sri D.Sekhar, Assistant Section Officer (2), Home (Courts-D) Department while functioning as Assistant Section Officer in Home (Courts-D) Department, has not taken steps to process the file bearing No.14035/Cts.D/A1/2010, **for more than 10 months after receiving the file from Finance Department** for issue of Administrative Sanction to release the admissible amount of undrawn pension arrear claim to a tune of an amount of Rs.5,96,143/- (Rupees Five Lakhs ninety six thousand one hundred and forty three only) in respect of Smt Sabeera Begum, W/o late Sued Abdul Jalal, retired Sheristadar District Court, Ongole which shows his negligence and unbecoming on his part. He has also failed in performing his legitimate duties on his part, in processing the file.

Thus, he acted in an irresponsible manner towards his legitimate duties which attracts negligence, and his action is contrary to the rule 3(1) (3) of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

P GAUTAM KUMAR  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

//FORWARDED:: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

## ANNEXURE - II

### STATEMENT OF IMPUTATIONS OF MISCONDUCT OR MISBEHAVIOUR IN SUPPORT OF THE ARTICLES OF CHARGE FRAMED AGAINST SRI D.SEKHAR ASSITANT SECTION OFFICER, HOME (COURTS-D) DEPARTMENT.

\*\*\*\*

It has been brought to the notice of the undersigned that, the Registrar (Admn) High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad has submitted the proposals for grant of administrative sanction for release the admissible amount of undrawn pension arrear claim to a tune of an amount of Rs.5,96,143/- (Rupees Five Lakhs ninety six thousands one hundred and forty three only) in respect of Smt Sabreera Begum, W/o late Syed Abdul Jalal, retired Sheristadar District Court, Ongole vide Lr.No.794/2010-C5, dated 01.05.2010.

2. The same has been processed and the file was sent to Finance Department on 04.06.2010, and the same has been received back in the section on 24.06.2010 with the remarks of Finance Department. In turn, the file was processed on 18.04.2011 (**after a gap of 10 months**) for grant of administrative sanction, which is an abnormal delay without any action, after obtaining the remarks of Finance Department. As such, an explanation has been called for from the Assistant Section Officer and Section officer of the section and they have submitted the explanations and tried to shirk the responsibility, but both the Section Officer and Assistant Section Officer are responsible for the lapses if any occurred in the Section.

3. Thus, he acted in an irresponsible manner towards his legitimate duties which attracts negligence, and his action is contrary to the rule 3 (1) (3) of A.P.C.S. (Conduct) Rules, 1964, which warrants deterrent action against him.

P GAUTAM KUMAR  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

//FORWARDED:: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER